

From: Mark Kehoe
To: [PublicOnlyCouncilEmail](#)
Cc: Michel Bourgeois; Jane Davis; Tim Carr; Guido Furlani; Paul Beazley; KJ Conyers-Steede
Subject: File #24-03 Development Agreement: Bear Lake Wind Farm - Process
Date: April 2, 2025 10:47:43 AM

Caution [External Email]

This email comes from an outside sender. Verify the sender and use caution with any requests, links or attachments.

Hello Councilors. At the March 13th PAC HAC meeting the "new" Development Agreement (File #24-03 Development Agreement: Bear Lake Wind Farm) The applicant withdrew their previous application and re-submitted a new application with new criteria regarding setbacks and the removal of visual intrusiveness.

PAC HAC did not hear this application because it was identified it wasn't following West Hants procedure for New Applications. Which is PIM, PAC HAC Committee review and recommendation, 1st Reading and then 2nd Reading. PAC HAC requested council to have this file sent back to PIM to follow the procedure required of all new applications. This was a point of order, not an application recommendation for first reading.

At the March 25th Council Meeting, the Planning Dept proceeded to give 1st Reading to this file before bringing forth PAC HAC's point of order procedure recommendation. Council voted on PAC HAC's recommendation after the 1st Reading and agreed the applicant will conduct a PIM because it was a new application.

It now sounds like the applicant is going to skip the PAC HAC review and recommendation step. As a committee member of the PAC HAC, I am requesting this part of the process not be ignored. After a PIM is complete, there will be new information the committee will want to discuss and review. There is also the new criteria that will be included in the committee's review. As with all applications, the PAC HAC committee would also like to make a recommendation to accompany this file for its 1st reading. The breakdown in process has caused our committee's review and input of an application to be excluded.

Please correct this breakdown in process and schedule a PAC HAC application review after the PIM. The committee recommendation should have been part of the 1st Reading that was prematurely done. If there's no appetite to revisit a 1st Reading after the PAC HAC review, then may I suggest the committee recommendation be included in the 2nd reading.

Thanks & Best Regards,
Mark Kehoe
PAC HAC Member